Can EMC/Wireless/Automotive Consulting per online Video Conferencing be effective?

Diethard E. A. Hansen

EURO EMC SERVICE (EES) Dr. Hansen Consulting

Berikon (near Zurich), Switzerland

Phone: +41 566 33 73 81, Email: euro.emc.service@swissonline.ch

Annual Expert Conference: Funk & EMV 20. Jan. 2022 (online), SwissT.net Zürich, Switzerland

Fachtagung Funk und EMV 2022 | SwissT.net

FYI: This documentation is for didactical reasons <u>not</u> fully complete, please listen to the presentation. Standards do change constantly. Therefore, always check for the latest one applicable !

To ensure strict customer confidentiality, signed NDAs, we cannot reveal specific project details and pictures here.

© Dr. Diethard Hansen, all rights reserved, www.euro-emc-service.com "Can EMC/Wireless/Automotive Consulting per online Video Conferencing be effective?"

1

Short Bio: **Diethard E. A. Hansen (Ph.D.) MS/BS EE** All degrees from Germany: **Dr.-Ing.**, Dipl.-Ing. TU + FH IEEE Life Member (USA), IEEE EMC-Society Senior Member, Senior iNarte EMC/PS Eng., Radio Licenses FCC: AK4IG 2011, CEPT: DK2VQ 1968, HB9CVQ 1983

• He has 30+ years of professional experience (Consultant/registered EU-Auditor/iNARTE USA)

in Electromagnetic (EM) Disturbance and Interference Control in Electronics,

incl. commercial/government/military installations/equipment

- 160+ international technical **papers**/ 50+ **patents** are assigned to him.
- Assessments/Audits: 400+ Test-Labs (worldwide), incl. EU-D EMC, RTTE/RED and Automotive EMC
- Since over 30 years active in international EM standards/regulations.
- 1983 till 1991 EMC Lab-Manager in R&D for major, international electro tech. Industry Company
- 1991 to 2000 private Test-Lab/Certification-Body Owner + R&D Manager Berlin, Teltow (Germany) was an accredited EMC Lab/competent Body EMC Today this would be a Notified Body under EU-CE.

http://www.euro-emc-service.com/view/data/5388/PDF%20Website/Publikationen%20BSP/PUBL_lessons_learned_DRhansen.pdf This article (view as entrepreneur/assessor on typical EMC Lab-Operation) was first published in IEEE EMC Symposium 2001, Montreal, Canada



Abstract:

Can EMC/Wireless/Automotive Consulting per online Video Conferencing be effective?

- Nowadays acceptance for remote internet online sessions, as one part of "a new normal" in pandemic, has greatly improved, almost anywhere in industry/government/society.
- Real world consulting cases:
- 1. Standards and Regulation (Product has CE-EU, now want US market access)
- 2. Small, smart Internet-Network Access Modules (SOS: EDS, Wireless)
- 3. External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over RE Limit)
- We will discuss:
- • Technical and formal conferencing requirements
- • Excessive technical EMC Know-How needed
- · Schedule issues based on different world time zones
- • Technical EMC lab work, hands-on, opportunities and limitations
- • Necessary test equipment at client's location/ recommendations
- Customer cooperation and mentality issues, cost effective test instruments
- Elements for project success, risks, delays, and time saving factors
- • Things we can/not do via online--Guidance in Tech Lab-Sessions
- We will also briefly highlight Consulting Solutions to problems, proven EMC strategies with lessons learned from different industries.
- Client problems how to correctly interpret and apply standards and regulations.
- Concluding Remarks

© Dr. Diethard Hansen, all rights reserved, www.euro-emc-service.com "Can EMC/Wireless/Automotive Consulting per online Video Conferencing be effective?"

Contents:

- 1. A critical Situation Review: Tricky Realities in industrial EMC-Product-Compliance/Testing
- 2. Acceptance of outsourced Consulting, before and during global crisis
- 3. Pandemic forcing Acceptance of Digital Interaction, Limits?
- 4. Anonymized Real-World EMC-Consulting Cases
 - Standards and Regulation (ITE Product CE-EU ok => now USA market access?)
 - Small, smart Internet-Network Access Module (SOS: ESD, Wireless Immunity)
 - External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission Limit)
- 5. Discussion and Lessons Learned:
 - Project risks/success chances, formal contract procedures, time-killers/savers
 - On-site R&D-Lab requirements (technical, cost-effective testing, know-how, human factors)
 - Recurrent experience/problem findings in projects
 - Technically proven R&D-EMC-Fixing Strategies
 - Things we can/can not do via online
 - Managing/Guidance of technical online Lab-Sessions
- 6. Concluding Remarks

- Our focus in this talk is EUROPE
- The benefits of EU EMC-D (CE) are overall recognized by industry [1]

Market Needs / reliable Product Functions / Quality / Legal Issues / Compliance Cost/Timing

- In some industry branches we still detect deficiencies (e.g., CE)
- Big differences in Approach by Large Corporations, SME, very small Companies
- Large Corporations can simply not afford to fail CE compliance -They are mostly well staffed and equipped- Test Labs here are increasingly accredited.
- **SME** rarely have their own, complete test facilities (conducted vs. radiated testing) Need for (some internal) in-house EMC-Competence/Test-Facilities is increasingly recognized.
- Very small companies have mostly big problems

(**Status** of EMC Know-How, Recourses, Standards/Regulations, EMC-Management / understanding costeffective EMC Strategies – Emissions CE/RE first –>reciprocity (linear systems) Immunity (ESD/BCI?)

[1] EC Study on the Evaluation of the Electromagnetic Compatibility Directive 2014/30/EU (EMCD), Final Report, June 2021

Risks (Compromises / Deficiencies in Standard !) Only one Example of numerous (partly <u>serious)</u> Standard Deficiency: IEC 61000-1-2 → Product Liability, Lawsuits!!

R&D: IEEE TR EMC Vol 60, No 5 Oct. 2018, p 1304 ff Kohani + p 1313 ff- Zhou

- Evaluating Characteristics of ESD events in Wearable medical devices: Comparison with IEC 61000-4-2 Standard, Mehdi Kohani et al.
- Characterization of ESD Risk for Wearable Devices, Jianchi Zhou et al.

This constitutes a conducted (fast peak current) as well as radiated (fast transient) EMI Problem

(CE medical directive, US FDA)

- Old ESD Model in STD not directly applicable anymore (different impedance situation –todays scenario is different, but not yet considered)
- Problem: Std-Test-Limit setting for Peak Currents (fast H-fields) was considerably too low
- FDA Database: Being listed here is not desirable! MAUDE Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (fda.gov)
- Patient's safety jeopardized , injuries , death (could have been prevented by proper Risk Assessment!)
- Lesson learned: EMC environment was chosen wrongly (not updated), no suitable STD (Model) existed in 2018!

Risks

Technical issues

- Making mistakes in correct standard selection/technical interpretation
- Making mistakes in regulatory procedures (EU , North America, worldwide)
- Everywhere in EU (CE) Regulations there is now a detailed risk assessment mandatory!
- Almost everywhere in Norms there is now a trend to fully state measurement uncertainty
- MU is presently however only applicable to the test stand (calibration set-up- without EUT interaction)
- MU is not yet considering the interaction e.g., Test-EUT (size) to Test Antenna
 Time to market delays
- Failures in product approval/compliance testing cause time consuming redesigns
 Legal issues
- Contract penalties are costly, product liability and reputation are critical

FYI: Shall do EMC Production Sampling ---Product Placing on the Market calls for <u>up-to-date Stds!</u>

Risks

• Stop EMC Budget/Cost Explosion



Considerations for an EMC Test strategy:

- We find often severe EMC Project-Management Problems e.g., very critical in functional safety
- Rule 1: ca. 60% are mostly conducted EMI Problems up to about 30MHz, exception mag. Near- Field Coupling
- Rule 2: Above ca. 30MHz radiated (far more complex RE) EMI Problems normally dominate here.
- Rule 3: Absorber Test Chambers have often performance problems ~ 30 to 200 MHz . Why? Cavity Shielded Room local resonances appear, due to degraded lower frequency absorber performance
- Rule 4: Very critical RE e.g., in Systems with GHz clocks/buses/RF/uW-Sources or ns risetimes in power electronics (ns => broadband pulse spectrum may excite potentially existing system resonances)

Risks (Compromises / Deficiencies in Standard !) → Product development in steps with only partial systems available for tests



Are you fit for the future? **Automotive** Challenges E-Mobility, Autonomous Driving, 5G etc. "Functional safety issue" System Complexity very high! -> Testing not enough! Rather detailed System Engineering Approach needed here.

Source: IEEE EMC 2020 WS A. Ruddle, MIRA UK

2. Acceptance of external Consulting, before and during global crisis

Before global crisis

- In contrast to North America outsourcing Consulting is often less popular in EU
- The "not invented here" syndrome?
- One very important factor in external consulting is **trust building**/ help increasing "help yourself" in the company by **training on the job** (catalyzer function)
- The Consultant (Service Provider) will only recommend, give expert advice ...
- The Company Staff decides finally!

During global crisis

after a certain shock period, we see more SOS-Projects...

Why? Project delays, supply chain trouble, increased staffing shortage, new technology challenges

3. Pandemic forcing Acceptance of Digital Interaction, Limits?

• Let's take an example from France Healthcare [2]

Medical Doctors Online <u>Remote Patient Consultations</u> (Video/Telephone)



[2] Euro health – Vol.26-No.2- 2020

Standards and Regulation (small ITE Product CE-EU ok => now USA market access?)

- Based on the previous (France) medical example this ITE-case should not be and surely was no problem to help effectively by **EMC-Tele-Consulting**
- Explaining differences between e.g., CE and FCC Regulations ...incl. legal issues
- ANSI C63.4-2014, ANSI C63.4a-2017 ... OSHA (electrical safety UL...) ...+++
- Easy, just a video EMC consultation, no R&D- Lab work needed
- (No fixing/pre-compliance testing on-site involved)

Small, smart Internet-Network Access Module (SOS: ESD, 2.4 GHz Wireless Immunity)

IEC 61000-4-2 (2008) Problem:

- Critical Case, failed several times accredited EMC lab testing
- Cigarette Pack sized enclosure, Input Output Network cables + WLAN Module
- Fast Transient ESD (<ns) protection diodes already implemented
- Online Test Lab report review, Circuit/Layout Analysis
- Problem identified in transient current path coupling

=> EMC Fixing/Modification Solution: Better shielding (acc. to EM-Zoning/Topology Protection Concept)

Product now passed successfully accredited (ISO EN 17025 2017) EMC/Radio Lab Test

This Fix solved also the failed lab test for of the WLAN module: Radiated immunity and TX unwanted emissions in spurious emissions 4.3.1.10.3*

IEC 61000-4-3 (2020)

EN 300 328 V2.2.2 * (06/02/2020 List RED Harm. Std-22-07-2021)

• **No guided, on-site consultancy with R&D lab testing** needed to be carried out here, only remote analysis

External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission Limit Class B under 100 MHz)

IEC 61851-21-2 Ed. 1 (2018) Problem: off-board electric vehicle charging system

Normative Reference: IEC 61000-6-3: 2006 AMD1 2010 Generic Std., emission residential => CISPR 22 (2008)

- Input: 3 x 400V AC 50Hz Phase (< 100 KW), Output: 2 unshielded charging cables to 2 E-Mobility cars (HV car battery)
- System is in a metallic rack mounted (cubicle, enclosure)
- EES-Job 1- in Pandemic Lockdown: Do remote R&D EMC Consulting to find the source/modules of the RE
- EES Job 2- in Pandemic Lockdown: Try to reduce excessive emissions (RE 60dB!).
- System was explained in detail by customer (Block/Circuit Diagrams/Modules/Mechanical Design, Cables)
- Accredited EMC Test Lab. Report (d=10m) was presented
- R&D Lab had some EMC Test equipment (**good** EMI Measurement Receiver, current probes, high current LISN, insufficient EMC-Management, lack of System/Subsystem/PCB EMC know-how, missing fixing tools)
- Manufacturer's **R&D Lab spent many month on unsuccessful fixes** with capacitors everywhere and some unsuitable ferrites.
- Up to 6, partly experienced, R&D Lab. Engineers (power electronics/control electronics, FW, SW) involved

External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission (RE) Limit Class B below 100 MHz)

<u>Oversimplified</u>, basic Idea shown by Block Diagram [3]:



[3] https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Basic-diagram-of-an-off-board-fast-charger_fig8_252050972

External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission (RE) Limit Class B below 100 MHz)

Consulting Strategy/Checks/Guided Testing:

- Customer explains the overall system (Block, major Circuit Diagrams, test equipment on-site, implemented EMC)
- EMC relevant questions asked by EES (Layout, cable routing, AC-input/DC-output filters, overall shielding...EM Topology-Zoning concept)
- Analysis of Test Report (Reveals excessive RE below 100MHz, Sources ?, Input or output cables length?)
- Detailed analysis of their own, previous R&D Lab tests-> a time safer (done dBuV/m, d=3m, Bicone-Antenna in the Lab !!??, Results appear questionable and inconsistent, a problematic approach)
- Quick Remote Check of the existing EMC equipment (calibration, sanity, LISN GND, mostly ok)
- Important, EES recommended, low budget test equipment missing (Near Field Probes, Pre-Amp, simple Network Analyzer, suitable Ferrites to choke of CM from used coaxial measurement cables, incorrect use of current probes dBuA = dBuV - dBOhm). Missing Equipment ordered/received for next Video Session. Engineers got familiar with it.
- Following now EMI-Current Coupling Path search/tests:
- Test#1: 3 Phase Input Filters (high Amps LISN) work ok, conducted mains limits met as in Test Lab.

External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission (RE) Limit Class B below 100 MHz)

Shorted Consulting Strategy/Checks/Guided Testing for EMI Source Location:

- Test#2: Same procedure as #1 now with current probe => Cross check result looks good, Rack Input ok
- Test#3: Rack Output (car charging cables), with current probe fails badly.
- Following now is EMI source location search:
- Test#4: Using *Near Field Probes* (*time/frequency domain*, Oscilloscope and EMI-RX spectrum mode)
 - => Identification major EMI sources SiC (tr=10ns) converter module heat sinks (RF-EMI hot !!)
- Following is now Coupling Path (loop) detailed Analysis:
- Test#5: Locating/simulating the potential coupling path with NVA (charging station off)
 - => RF-hot heat sinks -> Stray Capacitance to metallic Cabinet -> "Loop" PE- Car Charger Cables
 - => Source=SiC modules, Antenna => car battery charging cables (length matches roughly accred. TR resonance)
- Test#6: Measuring Stray Capacitance of one Module/Heat Sink to Enclosure => 150 to over 330 pF each!! => ~ nF...
- Rough Cross/Sanity Check:
- The 3 major Si Switch-Converters (AC/DC, 2x DC/DC) couple capacitively to rack (PE) @ 100MHz: Xc = 1.6 Ohm

External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission (RE) Limit Class B below 100 MHz)

Rough "theoretical" estimation: RFI current-> EMI field strength- Sanity Check of findings

- 30 to 100 MHz Class B limit: <u>30</u>dBuV/m @ d=<u>10m</u> (<u>40</u>dB/uV/m => 100uV/m @d=<u>3m</u>)
- Roughly 20dBuA RFI Antenna CM-Current just meets Class B here [4], [5] E-field from single wire Icm

•
$$|E_{C_{max}}| = 6.28 \times 10^{-7} \frac{I_{cur probe} Lf}{R}$$
 V/m Limiting assumptions do apply!

Ecmax = electr. Fieldstrength of emission, R= distance in m, Icur probe = measured CM current A, f= frequency in Hz, L= length of radiator in m

- Assumptions: e.g., Wire length small against Wavelength, approximation as Hertzian Dipole, FF, no near ground plane
- Other findings from [6]: Higher CM current fail radiated Emission Spec. (If wire/cable length L > 0.25 or 0.5 Lambda:
- (a) For FCC or CISPR/CE Class (B), Icm < 10 dBμA at F > 30 MHz, D=3m
- (b) For FCC or CISPR/CE Class (A), Icm < 20 dBμA at F > 30 MHz, D=10m

$$E_{(\mu V/m)} = \frac{60I_{\mu}}{D}$$

100uV/m @3m => ICM max allowed = only 5uA !!

[6] Michel Mardiguian, Controlling Radiated Emissions by Design, 3. Ed. Springer, 2014, ISBN 978-3-319-04771-3 (eBook)

[5] C.R. Paul et al.: IEEE TR EMC, Vol. 31, No. 2, May 1989, pages 189 to 193

© Dr. Diethard Hansen, all rights reserved, www.euro-emc-service.com "Can EMC/Wireless/Automotive Consulting per online Video Conferencing be effective?"

^[4] C.R. Paul et al.: Radiated Emission from Common Mode Currents, Proc. IEEE EMC, 1987, Atlanta , Ga, USA

External E-mobility Car Charging Station (SOS: 60dB over Radiated Emission (RE) Limit Class B below 100 MHz)

Rough "theoretical" estimation: RFI current-> EMI field strength - Sanity Check of findings

- 30 to 100 MHz EN 55022 Class B limit : 30dBuV/m @ d=10m
- Anything higher than 20dBuA (10uA) Current will fail Class B on any "EMI" Antenna (e.g., Charge Cables)
- Test Lab result: d=10m, Emax=90dBuV/m (30dB Limit+60dB SOS)
- We found in R&D Lab Tests Icm => up to over 70 dBuA (ca. 3mA below 100MHz) on external cables
- Cross-Check: Si-Converter Modules Xc= 1.6Ohm@100MHz => EMI/RFI Driving Voltage = > ca. 5mV
- These orders of magnitude seems reasonable (probably about 10dB contributions from another , yet unidentified, EMI sources)
- The Q-factor of the car charge cable (resonator) in the NVA Test (phase =0 is a resonance) was changing based on cable positioning. This confirms further potential measurement uncertainties for this crude estimation.
- The resonant frequencies, however, fitted very nicely with the accredited EMC-Test Lab. Report.
- Now System Fixing/ Redesign is ongoing. => unfortunately, => further Project Delays
- EMC-SOS and following redesign actions may blow a prior allocated project budget
- Having no proper EMC-Project-Management Plan upfront is extremely critical

© Dr. Diethard Hansen, all rights reserved, www.euro-emc-service.com "Can EMC/Wireless/Automotive Consulting per online Video Conferencing be effective?"

5. Discussion and Lessons Learned

Some technical and formal video conferencing requirements, remote EMC consulting

Consultant: Fast Internet/Laptop/Cam/Headset

Client: Similar, but importantly using a "portable" Cam, individual headsets for each session participant

- Excessive (20 to 40Y?), broad, technical EMC Know-How (world class level) needed on the Consultant's side
- Precautionary design of **contract**/payment conditions acc. to international customer cooperation and local mentality issues
- Never try to **predict consultation outcome** by looking into the crystal ball, first start one prepaid test session.
- Appropriate session schedules (no marathon runs) based on different world time zones
- Identify in first session:

Elements for project success, risks, delays, and time saving factors

What level of **on-site EMC Know-How** can be expected? Do we sense problems in correctly choosing /apply appropriate product/system **standards and regulations-** this could jeopardize everything?

Listen, Listen, Listen and ask short/precise/polite questions (EN-language problems?), not every client's wish/idea can be fulfilled!

Identify available/needed test equipment at client's location

- Recommendations of essential, low budget test equipment (up to 2 to 5 or 9K EURO), supply chain issues, used equipment market (e.g., Spectrum Analyzer, Oscilloscope, LISN, Current Probes, Near-Field Probes/Preamp., Small Antennas, Ferrites etc.)
- Now start possibly quoting "Frame Contract" with several sessions.
- Remotely guided, successful technical EMC R&D-Lab work, hands-on, can be done (opportunities and limitations)
- Things we can/not do (miracles) via online?—Guidance/Management of Tech Lab-Sessions (sometimes not easy, simultaneous test-jobs, several engineers/technicians on-site) All this is simultaneously an "EMC-Training on the job" for the customer.
- Always remember: How can we optimally help the client professionally, project focused, unbiased, fast and cost-effective ?

6. Concluding Remarks

- Clients with no proper EMC-Project-Management Plan upfront: always very critical
- Manufacturer: Must do suitable Product Risk Assessment (incl. System Function/Application, Specs HW/SW, MIS-/USE, EM environment, Regulations?, Norms?, exercise proper Product Compliance Procedures)
- Invest small early and save big later !
- Try to avoid EMC SOS (house is on fire) actions, if possible. Get early support/expertise in Design!
- Consider also good EMC-Training (online ok) to increase potentially missing internal EMC know-how.
- Find your concept of what know-how/test equipment is needed in-house, what can be outsourced ?
- Excessive (40Y?), broad, technical EMC Know-How (world class) needed on the Consultant's side for successful Tele-Remote EMC Consulting
- Even Remote EMC-SOS <u>can</u> be done –but should be last choice, (consultant: Do not only band-aid fixes, do EMI troubleshooting systematically; this takes time and client is maybe already impatient)

SOS-Essential is low budget test equipment (up to 2 to 5 or 9K EURO), supply chain issues => used equipment market ? (e.g., Spectrum Analyzer, Oscilloscope, LISN, Current Probes, Near-Field Probes/Preamp., Small Antennas, Ferrites etc.)

• Remember: EMC Labs (ISO/EN 17025: 2017 accredited) are under accreditation Operation-Mode not allowed to do any consulting. They only test, based on their accred. scope, products comply or not with a given standard (outcome yes/no).

Thank you all for your Time

Understanding is the first step to find your

own, specific EM- Problem Solution, effectively!

Any Questions / Comments / Discussion ?

Call us, we help professionally since over 30+ years

Info/Contact:

<u>https://www.euro-emc-service.com</u> <u>euro.emc.service@swissonline.ch</u>, or (<u>diethard.hansen@ieee.org</u>)

Phone Switzerland +41 566 33 73 81

linkedin.com/in/dr-diethard-hansen